arXiv:1512.01146v2 [math.AP] 8 Apr 2016

THE INTERIOR C^2 ESTIMATE FOR MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATION IN DIMENSION $n = 2$

CHUANQIANG CHEN, FEI HAN, AND QIANZHONG OU

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a new auxiliary function, and establish the interior C^2 estimate for Monge-Ampère equation in dimension $n = 2$, which was firstly proved by Heinz [5] by a geometric method.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the convex solution of Monge-Ampère equation

$$\det D^2u = f(x), \quad \text{in } B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{1.1}$$

When the solution u is convex, the equation (1.1) is elliptic. It is well-known that the interior C^2 estimate is an important problem for elliptic equations. For Monge-Ampère equation in dimension $n = 2$, the corresponding interior C^2 estimate was established by Heinz [5], and for higher dimension $n \geq 3$, Pogorelov [7] constructed his famous counterexample, namely irregular solutions to Monge-Ampère equations.

Later, Urbas [9] generalized the counterexample for σ_k Hessian equations with $k \geq 3$. So the interior C^2 estimate of σ_2 Hessian equation

$$\sigma_2(D^2u) = f, \quad \text{in } B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1.2}$$

is an interesting problem, where $\sigma_2(D^2u) = \sigma_2(\lambda(D^2u)) = \sum_{1\leq i_1<i_2\leq n} \lambda_{i_1}\lambda_{i_2}$, $\lambda(D^2u) = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)$ are the eigenvalues of D^2u, and $f > 0$. For $n = 2$, (1.2) is the Monge-Ampère equation (1.1). For $n = 3$ and $f \equiv 1$, (1.2) can be viewed as a special

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J96, 35B45, 35B65.

Keywords: interior C^2 estimate, Monge-Ampère equation, σ_2 Hessian equation, optimal concavity.

Research of the first author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NO. 11301497 and NO. 11471188). Research of the second author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NO. 11161048). Research of the third author is supported by NSFC No. 11061013 and by Guangxi Science Foundation (2014GXNSFAA118028) and Guangxi Education Department Key Laboratory of Symbolic Computation and Engineering Data Processing.

Lagrangian equation, and Warren-Yuan obtained the corresponding interior C^2 estimate in the celebrated paper [10]. Moreover, the problem is still open for general f with $n \geq 4$ and nonconstant f with $n = 3$.

Moreover, Pogorelov type estimates for the Monge-Ampère equations and σ_k Hessian equation ($k \geq 2$) were derived by Pogorelov [7] and Chou-Wang [3] respectively, and see [4] and [6] for some generalizations.

In this paper, we introduce a new auxiliary function, and establish the interior C^2 estimate as follows

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $u \in C^4(B_R(0))$ be a convex solution of Monge-Ampère equation (1.1) in dimension $n = 2$, where $0 < m \leq f \leq M$ in $B_R(0)$. Then*

$$|D^2u(0)| \leq C_1 e^{C_2 \frac{\sup |Du|^2}{R^2}}, \tag{1.3}$$

where C_1 is a positive constant depending only on m, M, $R \sup |\nabla f|$, $R^2 \sup |\nabla^2 f|$, and C_2 is a positive constant depending only on m and M.

Remark 1.2. By Trudinger's gradient estimates (see [8]), we can bound $|D^2u(0)|$ in terms of u. In fact, we can get from the convexity of u

$$\sup_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} |Du| \leq \frac{\underset{B_R(0)}{osc}\ u}{\frac{R}{2}} \leq \frac{4 \sup\limits_{B_R(0)} |u|}{R},$$

and

$$|D^2u(0)| \leq C_1 e^{C_2 \frac{\sup\limits_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} |Du|^2}{(\frac{R}{2})^2}} \leq C_1 e^{16 C_2 \frac{\sup\limits_{B_R(0)} |u|^2}{R^4}}. \tag{1.4}$$

Remark 1.3. The result was firstly proved by Heinz [5]. In fact, Heinz's proof depends on the strict convexity of solutions and the geometry of convex hypersurface in dimension two. Our proof, which is based on a suitable choice of auxiliary functions, is elementary and avoids geometric computations on the graph of solutions.

Remark 1.4. The interior C^2 estimate of σ_2 Hessian equation (1.2) in higher dimensions is a longstanding problem. As we all know, it is hard to find a corresponding geometry in higher dimensions, so we can not generalize Heinz's proof or Warren-Yuan's proof to higher dimensions. But the method in this paper and the optimal concavity in [2] is helpful for this problem.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the calculations of the derivatives of eigenvalues and eigenvectors with respect to the matrix. In Section 3, we introduce a new auxiliary function, and prove Theorem 1.1.

2. Derivatives of eigenvalues and eigenvectors

In this section, we give the calculations of the derivatives of eigenvalues and eigenvectors with respect to the matrix. We think the following result is known for many people, for example see [1] for a similar result. For completeness, we give the result and a detailed proof.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $W = \{W_{ij}\}$ is an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix and $\lambda(W) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n)$ are the eigenvalues of the symmetric matrix W, and the corresponding continuous eigenvector field is $\tau^i = (\tau^i{}_{,1}, \cdots, \tau^i{}_{,n}) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Suppose that $W = \{W_{ij}\}$ is diagonal, $\lambda_i = W_{ii}$ and the corresponding eigenvector $\tau^i = (0, \cdots, 0, \underset{i^{th}}{1}, 0, \cdots, 0) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ at the diagonal matrix W. If λ_k is distinct with other eigenvalues, then we have at the diagonal matrix W*

$$\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,k}}{\partial W_{pq}} = 0, \ \forall\ p, q; \quad \frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{ik}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_k - \lambda_i}, \quad i \neq k; \quad \frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{pq}} = 0, \ \textit{otherwise.} \tag{2.1}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tau^k{}_{,k}}{\partial W_{pk} \partial W_{pk}} = -\frac{1}{(\lambda_k - \lambda_p)^2}, \quad p \neq k; \tag{2.2}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{ik} \partial W_{ii}} = \frac{1}{(\lambda_k - \lambda_i)^2}, \ i \neq k; \quad \frac{\partial^2 \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{ik} \partial W_{kk}} = -\frac{1}{(\lambda_k - \lambda_i)^2}, \quad i \neq k; \tag{2.3}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{iq} \partial W_{qk}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_k - \lambda_i} \frac{1}{\lambda_k - \lambda_q}, \quad i \neq k, i \neq q, q \neq k; \tag{2.4}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{pq} \partial W_{rs}} = 0, \ \textit{otherwise.} \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. From the definition of eigenvalue and eigenvector of matrix W, we have

$$(W - \lambda_k I)\tau^k \equiv 0,$$

where τ^k is the eigenvector of W corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_k. That is, for $i = 1, \cdots, n$, it holds

$$[W_{ii} - \lambda_k]\tau^k{}_{,i} + \sum_{j \neq i} W_{ij} \tau^k{}_{,j} = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

When $W = \{W_{ij}\}$ is diagonal and λ_k is distinct with other eigenvalues, λ_k and τ^k are C^2 at the matrix W. In fact,

$$\tau^k{}_{,k} = 1, \quad \tau^k{}_{,i} = 0, \quad i \neq k, \quad \text{at } W. \tag{2.7}$$

Taking the first derivative of (2.6), we have

$$[\frac{\partial W_{ii}}{\partial W_{pq}} - \frac{\partial \lambda_k}{\partial W_{pq}}]\tau^k{}_{,i} + [W_{ii} - \lambda_k]\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{pq}} + \sum_{j\neq i}[\frac{\partial W_{ij}}{\partial W_{pq}}\tau^k{}_{,j} + W_{ij}\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,j}}{\partial W_{pq}}] = 0.$$

Hence for $i = k$, we get from (2.7)

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_k}{\partial W_{pq}} = \frac{\partial W_{kk}}{\partial W_{pq}} = \begin{cases} 1, & p = k, q = k; \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

And for $i \neq k$,

$$[W_{ii} - \lambda_k]\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{pq}} + \sum_{j\neq i}\frac{\partial W_{ij}}{\partial W_{pq}}\tau^k{}_{,j} = 0,$$

then

$$\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{pq}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_k - \lambda_i}\frac{\partial W_{ik}}{\partial W_{pq}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda_k - \lambda_i}, & p = i, q = k; \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

Since $\tau^k \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we have

$$1 = |\tau^k|^2 = (\tau^k{}_{,1})^2 + \cdots + (\tau^k{}_{,k})^2 + \cdots + (\tau^k{}_{,n})^2. \tag{2.10}$$

Taking the first derivative of (2.10), and using (2.7), it holds

$$\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,k}}{\partial W_{pq}} = 0, \quad \forall (p, q). \tag{2.11}$$

For $i = k$, taking the second derivative of (2.6), and using (2.7), it holds

$$[\frac{\partial^2 W_{kk}}{\partial W_{pq}\partial W_{rs}} - \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_k}{\partial W_{pq}\partial W_{rs}}]\tau^k{}_{,k} + \sum_{j\neq k}[\frac{\partial W_{kj}}{\partial W_{pq}}\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,j}}{\partial W_{rs}} + \frac{\partial W_{kj}}{\partial W_{rs}}\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,j}}{\partial W_{pq}}] = 0,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_k}{\partial W_{pq}\partial W_{rs}} &= \sum_{j\neq k}[\frac{\partial W_{kj}}{\partial W_{pq}}\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,j}}{\partial W_{rs}} + \frac{\partial W_{kj}}{\partial W_{rs}}\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,j}}{\partial W_{pq}}] \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda_k - \lambda_q}, & p = k, q \neq k, r = q, s = k; \\ \frac{1}{\lambda_k - \lambda_s}, & r = k, s \neq k, p = s, q = k; \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

For $i \neq k$, it holds

$$[\frac{\partial W_{ii}}{\partial W_{pq}} - \frac{\partial \lambda_k}{\partial W_{pq}}]\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{rs}} + [\frac{\partial W_{ii}}{\partial W_{rs}} - \frac{\partial \lambda_k}{\partial W_{rs}}]\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{pq}} + [W_{ii} - \lambda_k]\frac{\partial^2 \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{pq}\partial W_{rs}}$$
$$+ \sum_{j\neq i}[\frac{\partial W_{ij}}{\partial W_{pq}}\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,j}}{\partial W_{rs}} + \frac{\partial W_{ij}}{\partial W_{rs}}\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,j}}{\partial W_{pq}}] = 0,$$

then

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{ik}\partial W_{ii}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_k - \lambda_i}\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{ik}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_k - \lambda_i}\frac{1}{\lambda_k - \lambda_i}, \quad i \neq k; \tag{2.13}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{iq}\partial W_{qk}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_k - \lambda_i}\frac{\partial W_{iq}}{\partial W_{iq}}\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,q}}{\partial W_{qk}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_k - \lambda_i}\frac{1}{\lambda_k - \lambda_q}, \quad i \neq k, i \neq q, q \neq k; \tag{2.14}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{ik}\partial W_{kk}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_k - \lambda_i}[-\frac{\partial \lambda_k}{\partial W_{kk}}\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{ik}}] = -\frac{1}{\lambda_k - \lambda_i}\frac{1}{\lambda_k - \lambda_i}, \quad i \neq k; \tag{2.15}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{pq}\partial W_{rs}} = 0, \quad \text{otherwise.} \tag{2.16}$$

From (2.10), we have

$$2\tau^k_{,k}\frac{\partial^2 \tau^k{}_{,k}}{\partial W_{pq}\partial W_{rs}} + 2\sum_{i\neq k}\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{pq}}\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{rs}} = 0,$$

then

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tau^k{}_{,k}}{\partial W_{pq}\partial W_{rs}} = -\sum_{i\neq k}\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{pq}}\frac{\partial \tau^k{}_{,i}}{\partial W_{rs}} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{\lambda_k - \lambda_p}\frac{1}{\lambda_k - \lambda_p}, & p \neq k, q = k, r = p, s = q; \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

The proof of Proposition 2.1 is finished. □

Example 2.2. When $n = 2$, the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} \\ u_{21} & u_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ has two eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{(u_{11} + u_{22}) + \sqrt{(u_{11} - u_{22})^2 + 4u_{12}u_{21}}}{2},$$
$$\lambda_2 = \frac{(u_{11} + u_{22}) - \sqrt{(u_{11} - u_{22})^2 + 4u_{12}u_{21}}}{2},$$

with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$. If $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$,

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} \\ u_{21} & u_{22} \end{pmatrix} - \lambda_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right]\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

we can get

$$\xi_1 = \frac{(u_{22} - u_{11}) - \sqrt{(u_{11} - u_{22})^2 + 4u_{12}u_{21}}}{2}; \qquad \xi_2 = -u_{21}.$$

Then the eigenvector τ corresponding to λ_1 is

$$\tau = -\frac{(\xi_1, \xi_2)}{\sqrt{{\xi_1}^2 + {\xi_2}^2}}.$$

We can verify Proposition 2.1.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Now we start to prove Theorem 1.1.

Let $\tau(x) = \tau(D^2u(x)) = (\tau_1, \tau_2) \in \mathbb{S}^1$ be the continuous eigenvector field of $D^2u(x)$ corresponding to the largest eigenvalue. Denote

$$\Sigma =: \{x \in B_R(0) : r^2 - |x|^2 + \langle x, \tau(x)\rangle^2 > 0, r^2 - \langle x, \tau(x)\rangle^2 > 0\}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}R$. It is easy to know, Σ is an open set and $B_r(0) \subset \Sigma \subset B_R(0)$. We introduce a new auxiliary function in Σ as follows

$$\phi(x) = \eta(x)^\beta g(\frac{1}{2}|Du|^2)u_{\tau\tau} \tag{3.2}$$

where $\eta(x) = (r^2 - |x|^2 + \langle x, \tau(x)\rangle^2)(r^2 - \langle x, \tau(x)\rangle^2)$ with $\beta = 4$ and $g(t) = e^{\frac{c_0}{r^2}t}$ with $c_0 = \frac{32}{m}$. In fact, $\langle x, \tau(x)\rangle$ is invariant under rotations of the coordinates, so is $\eta(x)$.

From the definition of Σ, we know $\eta(x) > 0$ in Σ, and $\eta = 0$ on $\partial\Sigma$. Assume the maximum of $\phi(x)$ in Σ is attains at $x_0 \in \Sigma$. By rotating the coordinates, we can assume $D^2u(x_0)$ is diagonal. In the following, we denote $\lambda_i = u_{ii}(x_0)$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$, and $\tau(x_0) = (1, 0)$.

If $\eta\lambda_1 \leq 10^3(1 + M + r\sup|\nabla f| + \frac{M}{m}\frac{\sup|Du|}{r})r^4$. Then we can easily get

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\tau(0)\tau(0)}(0) \leq & \frac{1}{r^{4\beta}}\phi(0) \leq \frac{1}{r^{4\beta}}\phi(x_0) \\ \leq & 10^3(1 + M + r\sup|\nabla f| + \frac{M}{m}\frac{\sup|Du|}{r})e^{c_0\frac{\sup|Du|^2}{r^2}} \\ \leq & 10^3(1 + M + r\sup|\nabla f|)e^{(c_0 + \frac{2M}{m})\frac{\sup|Du|^2}{r^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$|u_{\xi\xi}(0)| \leq u_{\tau(0)\tau(0)}(0) \leq 10^3(1 + M + r\sup|\nabla f|)e^{(c_0 + \frac{2M}{m})\frac{\sup|Du|^2}{r^2}}, \quad \forall\ \xi \in \mathbb{S}^1.$$

Then we prove Theorem 1.1 under the condition $\eta\lambda_1 \leq 10^3(1 + M + r\sup|\nabla f| + \frac{M}{m}\frac{\sup|Du|}{r})r^4$.

Now, we assume $\eta\lambda_1 \geq 10^3(1+M+r\sup|\nabla f|+\frac{M}{m}\frac{\sup|Du|}{r})r^4$. Then we have

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{\eta\lambda_1}{\eta} \geq 10^3(1+M+r\sup|\nabla f|+\frac{M}{m}\frac{\sup|Du|}{r}). \tag{3.3}$$

From the equation (1.1), we have

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{f}{\lambda_1} \leq \frac{M}{\lambda_1} < \lambda_1.$$

Hence λ_1 is distinct with the other eigenvalue, and $\tau(x)$ is C^2 at x_0. Moreover, the test function

$$\varphi = \beta\log\eta + \log g(\frac{1}{2}|Du|^2) + \log u_{11} \tag{3.4}$$

attains the local maximum at x_0. In the following, all the calculations are at x_0.

Then, we can get

$$0 = \varphi_i = \beta\frac{\eta_i}{\eta} + \frac{g'}{g}\sum_k u_k u_{ki} + \frac{u_{11i}}{u_{11}},$$

so we have

$$\frac{u_{11i}}{u_{11}} = -\beta\frac{\eta_i}{\eta} - \frac{g'}{g}u_i u_{ii}, \quad i=1,2. \tag{3.5}$$

At x_0, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq \varphi_{ii} =& \beta[\frac{\eta_{ii}}{\eta} - \frac{\eta_i^2}{\eta^2}] + \frac{g''g-g'^2}{g^2}\sum_k u_k u_{ki}\sum_l u_l u_{li} \\ &+ \frac{g'}{g}\sum_k (u_{ki}u_{ki}+u_k u_{kii}) + \frac{u_{11ii}}{u_{11}} - \frac{u_{11i}^2}{u_{11}^2} \\ =& \beta[\frac{\eta_{ii}}{\eta} - \frac{\eta_i^2}{\eta^2}] + \frac{g'}{g}[u_{ii}^2 + \sum_k u_k u_{kii}] + \frac{u_{11ii}}{u_{11}} - \frac{u_{11i}^2}{u_{11}^2}, \end{aligned}$$

since $g''g - g'^2 = 0$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} F^{11} &= \frac{\partial \det D^2u}{\partial u_{11}} = \lambda_2, \quad F^{22} = \frac{\partial \det D^2u}{\partial u_{22}} = \lambda_1, \\ F^{12} &= \frac{\partial \det D^2u}{\partial u_{12}} = 0, \quad F^{21} = \frac{\partial \det D^2u}{\partial u_{21}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then from the equation (1.1) we can get

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{f}{\lambda_1}. \tag{3.6}$$

Differentiating (1.1) once, we can get

$$F^{11}u_{11i} + F^{22}u_{22i} = f_i,$$

then

$$u_{22i} = \frac{1}{F^{22}}[f_i - F^{11}u_{11i}] = \frac{f_i}{\lambda_1} - \frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{u_{11i}}{u_{11}}. \tag{3.7}$$

Differentiating (1.1) twice, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} F^{11}u_{1111} + F^{22}u_{2211} =& f_{11} - 2\frac{\partial^2 \det D^2u}{\partial u_{11}\partial u_{22}}u_{111}u_{221} - 2\frac{\partial^2 \det D^2u}{\partial u_{12}\partial u_{21}}u_{112}^2 \\ =& f_{11} - 2u_{111}u_{221} + 2u_{112}^2 \\ =& f_{11} + 2u_{112}^2 - 2u_{111}[\frac{f_1}{\lambda_1} - \frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}}] \\ =& f_{11} + 2u_{112}^2 - 2f_1\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}} + 2f(\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}})^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F^{11}u_{1112} + F^{22}u_{2212} =& f_{12} - \frac{\partial^2 \det D^2u}{\partial u_{11}\partial u_{22}}u_{111}u_{222} - \frac{\partial^2 \det D^2u}{\partial u_{22}\partial u_{11}}u_{221}u_{112} \\ & - 2\frac{\partial^2 \det D^2u}{\partial u_{12}\partial u_{21}}u_{121}u_{212} \\ =& f_{12} - u_{111}u_{222} - u_{112}u_{221} + 2u_{112}u_{221} \\ =& f_{12} - u_{111}[\frac{f_2}{\lambda_1} - \frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{u_{112}}{u_{11}}] + u_{112}[\frac{f_1}{\lambda_1} - \frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}}] \\ =& f_{12} + f_1\frac{u_{112}}{u_{11}} - f_2\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{2} F^{ii}\varphi_{ii} \\
&= \beta\sum_i F^{ii}[\frac{\eta_{ii}}{\eta}-\frac{\eta_i^2}{\eta^2}]+\frac{g'}{g}\sum_i F^{ii}u_{ii}^2+\frac{g'}{g}\sum_k u_k f_k \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{u_{11}}\sum_i F^{ii}u_{11ii}-\sum_i F^{ii}[\frac{u_{11i}}{u_{11}}]^2 \\
&= \beta\lambda_2[\frac{\eta_{11}}{\eta}-\frac{\eta_1^2}{\eta^2}]+\beta\lambda_1[\frac{\eta_{22}}{\eta}-\frac{\eta_2^2}{\eta^2}]+\frac{g'}{g}[\lambda_1+\lambda_2]f+\frac{g'}{g}[u_1f_1+u_2f_2] \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{u_{11}}[f_{11}+2u_{112}^2-2f_1\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}}+2f(\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}})^2]-\lambda_2[\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}}]^2-\lambda_1[\frac{u_{112}}{u_{11}}]^2 \\
&\geq \beta[\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{\eta_{11}}{\eta}+\lambda_1\frac{\eta_{22}}{\eta}]-\beta\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{\eta_1^2}{\eta^2}-\beta\lambda_1\frac{\eta_2^2}{\eta^2} \\
&\quad +\frac{f}{\lambda_1}[\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}}]^2-2\frac{f_1}{u_{11}}\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}}+\frac{\lambda_1}{2}[\frac{u_{112}}{u_{11}}]^2+\frac{\lambda_1}{2}[\beta\frac{\eta_2}{\eta}+\frac{g'}{g}u_2u_{22}]^2 \\
&\quad +\frac{g'}{g}f\lambda_1-\frac{g'}{g}|\nabla u||\nabla f|-\frac{|f_{11}|}{\lambda_1} \\
&\geq \beta[\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{\eta_{11}}{\eta}+\lambda_1\frac{\eta_{22}}{\eta}]-\beta\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{\eta_1^2}{\eta^2}-\beta\lambda_1\frac{\eta_2^2}{\eta^2} \\
&\quad +\frac{f}{2\lambda_1}[\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}}]^2+\frac{\lambda_1}{2}[\frac{u_{112}}{u_{11}}]^2+\frac{\beta^2}{2}\lambda_1\frac{\eta_2^2}{\eta^2}+\beta f\frac{g'}{g}\frac{\eta_2}{\eta}u_2 \\
&\quad +\frac{g'}{g}f\lambda_1-\frac{g'}{g}|\nabla u||\nabla f|-\frac{|f_{11}|}{\lambda_1}-2\frac{f_1^2}{f\lambda_1}. \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.1. *Under the condition* $\eta\lambda_1 \geq 10^3(1+M+r\sup|\nabla f|+\frac{M}{m}\frac{\sup|Du|}{r})r^4$, *we have at* x_0

$$\beta\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{\eta_1^2}{\eta^2}\leq\frac{8\beta f r^6}{\eta^2\lambda_1}+\frac{\lambda_1}{4}(\frac{u_{112}}{u_{11}})^2; \qquad (3.11)$$

$$\beta f\frac{g'}{g}\frac{\eta_2}{\eta}u_2\geq -4\beta f\frac{g'}{g}\frac{r^4}{\eta}\frac{|u_2|}{r}; \qquad (3.12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\beta[\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{\eta_{11}}{\eta}+\lambda_1\frac{\eta_{22}}{\eta}] \geq & -\frac{1}{2}\frac{g'}{g}f\lambda_1-\beta\lambda_1[\frac{\eta_2}{\eta}]^2-\frac{f}{2\lambda_1}(\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}})^2-\frac{\lambda_1}{4}(\frac{u_{112}}{u_{11}})^2\\ & -2\beta f\frac{r^2}{\eta\lambda_1}-4\beta|f_{12}|\frac{r^4}{\eta\lambda_1}-32\beta\frac{f_1^2r^4}{\eta\lambda_1^3}-8\beta\frac{|f_1f_2|r^4}{\eta\lambda_1^3}-24\beta\frac{|f_1|r^3}{\eta\lambda_1}\\ & -[\frac{6\beta|f_1|^2r^4}{\eta\lambda_1}+\frac{12\beta fr^2}{\eta\lambda_1}]-[\frac{8\beta fr^4|f_2|^2}{\eta\lambda_1^3}+\frac{(48\beta)^2fr^6}{\eta^2\lambda_1}+\frac{32\beta^2|f_2|^2r^8}{\eta^2\lambda_1 f}].\end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

Proof. At x_0, $\tau=(\tau_1,\tau_2)=(1,0)$. Then from Proposition 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x,\partial_i\tau\rangle=&\sum_{m=1}^{2}x_m\frac{\partial\tau_m}{\partial x_i}=\sum_{m=1}^{2}x_m\frac{\partial\tau_m}{\partial u_{pq}}u_{pqi}=x_2\frac{\partial\tau_2}{\partial u_{pq}}u_{pqi}\\ =&x_2\frac{u_{12i}}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2},\quad i=1,2.\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

From the definition of η, then we have at x_0

$$\eta=[r^2-|x|^2+\langle x,\tau\rangle^2][r^2-\langle x,\tau\rangle^2]=(r^2-x_2^2)(r^2-x_1^2).\tag{3.15}$$

Taking first derivative of η, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_i=&[-2x_i+2\langle x,\tau\rangle\langle x,\tau\rangle_i][r^2-\langle x,\tau\rangle^2]\\ &+[r^2-|x|^2+\langle x,\tau\rangle^2][-2\langle x,\tau\rangle\langle x,\tau\rangle_i]\\ =&[-2x_i+2x_1(\delta_{i1}+\langle x,\partial_i\tau\rangle)][r^2-x_1^2]+(r^2-x_2^2)[-2x_1(\delta_{i1}+\langle x,\partial_i\tau\rangle)]\\ =&\begin{cases}-2x_1(r^2-x_2^2)+2x_1\langle x,\partial_1\tau\rangle(x_2^2-x_1^2), & i=1;\\ -2x_2(r^2-x_1^2)+2x_1\langle x,\partial_2\tau\rangle(x_2^2-x_1^2), & i=2.\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\beta\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{\eta_1^2}{\eta^2}=&\beta\frac{f}{\lambda_1}[\frac{-2x_1(r^2-x_2^2)}{\eta}+2x_1x_2\frac{x_2^2-x_1^2}{\eta}\frac{u_{112}}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}]^2\\ \leq&\beta\frac{f}{\lambda_1}[\frac{8r^6}{\eta^2}+\frac{8r^8}{\eta^2}(\frac{u_{112}}{u_{11}})^2]\\ \leq&\frac{8\beta fr^6}{\eta^2\lambda_1}+\frac{\lambda_1}{4}(\frac{u_{112}}{u_{11}})^2.\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\eta_2}{\eta} =&\frac{-2x_2(r^2-x_1^2)}{\eta}+2x_1x_2\frac{x_2^2-x_1^2}{\eta}\frac{u_{221}}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\\
=&\frac{-2x_2(r^2-x_1^2)}{\eta}+2x_1x_2\frac{x_2^2-x_1^2}{\eta}\frac{1}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}[\frac{f_1}{\lambda_1}-\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}}]\\
=&\frac{-2x_2(r^2-x_1^2)}{\eta}[1-x_1\frac{(x_2^2-x_1^2)(r^2-x_2^2)}{\eta}\frac{1}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\frac{f_1}{\lambda_1}]\\
&+2x_1x_2\frac{x_2^2-x_1^2}{\eta}\frac{1}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\frac{f}{\lambda_1}[\beta\frac{\eta_1}{\eta}+\frac{g'}{g}u_1u_{11}]\\
=&\frac{-2x_2(r^2-x_1^2)}{\eta}[1-x_1\frac{(x_2^2-x_1^2)(r^2-x_2^2)}{\eta}\frac{1}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}(\frac{f_1}{\lambda_1}+\frac{g'}{g}u_1f)]\\
&+2x_1x_2\frac{x_2^2-x_1^2}{\eta}\frac{1}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\beta[\frac{-2x_1(r^2-x_2^2)}{\eta}+2x_1x_2\frac{x_2^2-x_1^2}{\eta}\frac{u_{112}}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}]\\
=&\frac{-2x_2(r^2-x_1^2)}{\eta}[1-x_1\frac{(x_2^2-x_1^2)(r^2-x_2^2)}{\eta}\frac{1}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}(\frac{f_1}{\lambda_1}+\frac{g'}{g}u_1f-\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\beta\frac{2x_1(r^2-x_2^2)}{\eta})]\\
&+[2x_1x_2\frac{x_2^2-x_1^2}{\eta}]^2\frac{f}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^2}\beta[-\beta\frac{\eta_2}{\eta}-\frac{g'}{g}u_2u_{22}],
\end{aligned}$$

then we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
&[1+\beta^2(2x_1x_2\frac{x_2^2-x_1^2}{\eta})^2\frac{f}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^2}]\frac{\eta_2}{\eta}\\
=&\frac{-2x_2(r^2-x_1^2)}{\eta}[1-x_1\frac{(x_2^2-x_1^2)(r^2-x_2^2)}{\eta}\frac{1}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}(\frac{f_1}{\lambda_1}+\frac{g'}{g}u_1f-\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\beta\frac{2x_1(r^2-x_2^2)}{\eta})]\\
&+\frac{-2x_2(r^2-x_1^2)}{\eta}\frac{2x_1^2x_2(x_2^2-x_1^2)^2(r^2-x_2^2)}{\eta^2}\frac{f}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^2}\beta\frac{g'}{g}u_2\frac{f}{\lambda_1}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.17}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta f\frac{g'}{g}\frac{\eta_2}{\eta}u_2 \geq&-\beta f\frac{g'}{g}\frac{2r^3}{\eta}[1+\frac{2r^5}{\eta\lambda_1}(\frac{|f_1|}{\lambda_1}+\frac{g'}{g}|u_1|f+\frac{2\beta fr^3}{\eta\lambda_1})+\frac{16\beta r^9}{\eta^2}\frac{f^2}{\lambda_1^3}\frac{g'}{g}|u_2|]|u_2|\\
\geq&-\beta f\frac{g'}{g}\frac{2r^3}{\eta}[1+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4}]|u_2|\\
=&-4\beta f\frac{g'}{g}\frac{r^4}{\eta}\frac{|u_2|}{r}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.18}$$

In fact, $\frac{\eta_2}{\eta} \approx \frac{-2x_2(r^2-x_1^2)}{\eta}$ if $\eta\lambda_1$ is big enough. And we can get from (3.17)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\eta_2^2}{\eta^2} \geq & \left\{[1+\beta^2(2x_1x_2\frac{x_2^2-x_1^2}{\eta})^2\frac{f}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^2}]\frac{\eta_2}{\eta}\right\}^2 [1-\beta^2(2x_1x_2\frac{x_2^2-x_1^2}{\eta})^2\frac{f}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^2}]^2 \\
\geq & \left[\frac{-2x_2(r^2-x_1^2)}{\eta}\right]^2 [1-\frac{2r^5}{\eta\lambda_1}(\frac{|f_1|}{\lambda_1}+\frac{g'}{g}|u_1|f+\frac{2\beta f r^3}{\eta\lambda_1})-\frac{8\beta r^9}{\eta^2\lambda_1^2}\frac{g'}{g}|u_2|\frac{f^2}{\lambda_1}]^2[1-\beta^2\frac{16r^8}{\eta^2}\frac{f}{\lambda_1^2}]^2 \\
\geq & \left[\frac{-2x_2(r^2-x_1^2)}{\eta}\right]^2 [1-\frac{1}{10^3}-\frac{64}{10^3}-\frac{1}{10}-\frac{1}{10^3}]^2[1-\frac{1}{10^3}]^2 \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{-2x_2(r^2-x_1^2)}{\eta}\right]^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking second derivatives of η, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_{ii} =& [-2+2\langle x,\tau\rangle\langle x,\tau\rangle_{ii}+2\langle x,\tau\rangle_i\langle x,\tau\rangle_i][r^2-\langle x,\tau\rangle^2] \\
&+2[-2x_i+2\langle x,\tau\rangle\langle x,\tau\rangle_i][-2\langle x,\tau\rangle\langle x,\tau\rangle_i] \\
&+[r^2-|x|^2+\langle x,\tau\rangle^2][-2\langle x,\tau\rangle\langle x,\tau\rangle_{ii}-2\langle x,\tau\rangle_i\langle x,\tau\rangle_i] \\
=& [-2+2x_1\langle x,\tau\rangle_{ii}+2(\delta_{i1}+\langle x,\partial_i\tau\rangle)^2][r^2-x_1^2] \\
&+2[-2x_i+2x_1(\delta_{i1}+\langle x,\partial_i\tau\rangle)][-2x_1(\delta_{i1}+\langle x,\partial_i\tau\rangle)] \\
&+(r^2-x_2^2)[-2x_1\langle x,\tau\rangle_{ii}-2(\delta_{i1}+\langle x,\partial_i\tau\rangle)^2],
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_{11} =& -2(r^2-x_2^2)-2x_1(x_1^2-x_2^2)\langle x,\tau\rangle_{11} \\
&+(4x_2^2-12x_1^2)\langle x,\partial_1\tau\rangle+(2x_2^2-10x_1^2)\langle x,\partial_1\tau\rangle^2, \qquad (3.19) \\
\eta_{22} =& -2(r^2-x_1^2)-2x_1(x_1^2-x_2^2)\langle x,\tau\rangle_{22} \\
&+8x_1x_2\langle x,\partial_2\tau\rangle+(2x_2^2-10x_1^2)\langle x,\partial_2\tau\rangle^2. \qquad (3.20)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta[\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{\eta_{11}}{\eta}+\lambda_1\frac{\eta_{22}}{\eta}] =& -2\beta[\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{r^2-x_2^2}{\eta}+\lambda_1\frac{r^2-x_1^2}{\eta}] \\
&-2\beta\frac{x_1(x_1^2-x_2^2)}{\eta}[\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\langle x,\tau\rangle_{11}+\lambda_1\langle x,\tau\rangle_{22}] \\
&+\beta\frac{f}{\lambda_1}[\frac{x_2(4x_2^2-12x_1^2)}{\eta}\frac{u_{112}}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}+\frac{x_2^2(2x_2^2-10x_1^2)}{\eta}(\frac{u_{112}}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2})^2] \qquad (3.21) \\
&+\beta\lambda_1[\frac{8x_1x_2^2}{\eta}\frac{u_{221}}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}+\frac{x_2^2(2x_2^2-10x_1^2)}{\eta}(\frac{u_{221}}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2})^2].
\end{aligned}$$

Direct calculations yield

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x,\tau\rangle_{11} =&\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2}[\sum_{m=1}^{2} x_m\tau_m] = 2\frac{\partial \tau_1}{\partial x_1} + \sum_{m=1}^{2} x_m \frac{\partial^2 \tau_m}{\partial x_1^2}\\ =&2\frac{\partial \tau_1}{\partial u_{pq}}u_{pq1} + \sum_{m=1}^{2} x_m[\frac{\partial \tau_m}{\partial u_{pq}}u_{pq11} + \frac{\partial^2 \tau_m}{\partial u_{pq}\partial u_{rs}}u_{pq1}u_{rs1}]\\ =&0 + x_1\frac{\partial^2 \tau_1}{\partial u_{pq}\partial u_{rs}}u_{pq1}u_{rs1} + x_2[\frac{\partial \tau_2}{\partial u_{pq}}u_{pq11} + \frac{\partial^2 \tau_2}{\partial u_{pq}\partial u_{rs}}u_{pq1}u_{rs1}]\\ =&-x_1\left[\frac{u_{112}}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\right]^2 + x_2\left[\frac{1}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\right]u_{1211} + 2x_2\left[-\frac{u_{112}u_{111}}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^2} + \frac{u_{112}u_{221}}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^2}\right],\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x,\tau\rangle_{22} =&\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2}[\sum_{m=1}^{2} x_m\tau_m] = 2\frac{\partial \tau_2}{\partial x_2} + \sum_{m=1}^{2} x_m \frac{\partial^2 \tau_m}{\partial x_1^2}\\ =&2\frac{\partial \tau_2}{\partial u_{pq}}u_{pq2} + \sum_{m=1}^{2} x_m[\frac{\partial \tau_m}{\partial u_{pq}}u_{pq22} + \frac{\partial^2 \tau_m}{\partial u_{pq}\partial u_{rs}}u_{pq2}u_{rs2}]\\ =&2\left[\frac{1}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\right]u_{221} - x_1\left[\frac{u_{221}}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\right]^2\\ &+ x_2\left[\frac{1}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\right]u_{1222} + 2x_2\left[-\frac{u_{112}u_{221}}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^2} + \frac{u_{222}u_{221}}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^2}\right],\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\langle x,\tau\rangle_{11} + \lambda_1\langle x,\tau\rangle_{22} =&-x_1\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\left[\frac{u_{112}}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\right]^2 + 2\lambda_1\left[\frac{u_{221}}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\right] - x_1\lambda_1\left[\frac{u_{221}}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\right]^2\\ &+ x_2\left[\frac{1}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\right][f_{12} + f_1\frac{u_{112}}{u_{11}} - f_2(\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}})]\\ &+ 2x_2\left[-\frac{u_{112}}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^2}f_1 + \frac{u_{221}}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^2}f_2\right].\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

From (3.21) and (3.22), we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\beta[\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{\eta_{11}}{\eta}+\lambda_1\frac{\eta_{22}}{\eta}]\\
=&-2\beta[\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{r^2-x_2^2}{\eta}+\lambda_1\frac{r^2-x_1^2}{\eta}]-2\beta\frac{x_1x_2(x_1^2-x_2^2)}{\eta}\left[\frac{1}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\right][f_{12}-f_2(\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}})]\\
&+(\frac{u_{112}}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2})^2[2\beta\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{x_1^2(x_1^2-x_2^2)}{\eta}+\beta\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{x_2^2(2x_2^2-10x_1^2)}{\eta}]\\
&+\frac{u_{112}}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}[-2\beta\frac{x_1(x_1^2-x_2^2)}{\eta}(x_2\frac{f_1}{\lambda_1}-2x_2\frac{f_1}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2})+\beta\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{x_2(4x_2^2-12x_1^2)}{\eta}]\\
&+(\frac{u_{221}}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2})^2[2\beta\lambda_1\frac{x_1^2(x_1^2-x_2^2)}{\eta}+\beta\lambda_1\frac{x_2^2(2x_2^2-10x_1^2)}{\eta}]\\
&+\frac{u_{221}}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}[-2\beta\frac{x_1(x_1^2-x_2^2)}{\eta}(2\lambda_1+2x_2\frac{f_2}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2})+\beta\lambda_1\frac{8x_1x_2^2}{\eta}]\\
\geq&-2\beta\lambda_1\frac{r^2-x_1^2}{\eta}-2\beta f\frac{r^2}{\eta\lambda_1}-2\beta|f_{12}|\frac{r^4}{\eta(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)}-2\beta|f_2|\frac{r^4}{\eta(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)}|\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}}|\\
&-(\frac{u_{112}}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)})^2\beta\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{8r^4}{\eta}-|\frac{u_{112}}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}|[6\beta\frac{|f_1|}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\frac{r^4}{\eta}+\beta\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{12r^3}{\eta}]\\
&-\frac{1}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^2}[u_{221}]^2\beta\lambda_1\frac{8r^4}{\eta}-\frac{1}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}|u_{221}|[4\beta\frac{r^4}{\eta}\frac{|f_2|}{\lambda_1}+\beta\lambda_1\frac{12r^3}{\eta}]\\
\geq&-2\beta\lambda_1\frac{r^2-x_1^2}{\eta}-2\beta f\frac{r^2}{\eta\lambda_1}-4\beta|f_{12}|\frac{r^4}{\eta\lambda_1}-4\beta|f_2|\frac{r^4}{\eta\lambda_1}|\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}}|\\
&-(\frac{u_{112}}{u_{11}})^2\beta\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{16r^4}{\eta}-|\frac{u_{112}}{u_{11}}|[12\beta\frac{|f_1|}{\lambda_1}\frac{r^4}{\eta}+\beta\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{24r^3}{\eta}]\\
&-2[\frac{f_1^2}{\lambda_1^4}+\frac{f^2}{\lambda_1^4}(\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}})^2]\beta\lambda_1\frac{16r^4}{\eta}-[\frac{|f_1|}{\lambda_1^2}+\frac{f}{\lambda_1^2}|\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}}|][8\beta\frac{r^4}{\eta}\frac{|f_2|}{\lambda_1}+\beta\lambda_1\frac{24r^3}{\eta}]\\
\geq&-2\beta\lambda_1\frac{r^2-x_1^2}{\eta}-2\beta f\frac{r^2}{\eta\lambda_1}-4\beta|f_{12}|\frac{r^4}{\eta\lambda_1}-32\beta\frac{f_1^2r^4}{\eta\lambda_1^3}-8\beta\frac{|f_1f_2|r^4}{\eta\lambda_1^3}-24\beta\frac{|f_1|r^3}{\eta\lambda_1}\\
&-\frac{\lambda_1}{2}(\frac{u_{112}}{u_{11}})^2[\frac{32\beta fr^4}{\eta\lambda_1^2}+\frac{12\beta r^4}{\eta\lambda_1^2}+\frac{24\beta fr^4}{\eta\lambda_1^2}]-\frac{\lambda_1}{2}[\frac{12\beta|f_1|^2r^4}{\eta\lambda_1^2}+\frac{24\beta fr^2}{\eta\lambda_1^2}]\\
&-\frac{f}{2\lambda_1}(\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}})^2[\frac{64\beta fr^4}{\eta\lambda_1^2}+\frac{16\beta r^4}{\eta\lambda_1^2}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4}]-\frac{f}{2\lambda_1}[\frac{16\beta r^4|f_2|^2}{\eta\lambda_1^2}+(\frac{48\beta r^3}{\eta})^2+(\frac{8\beta r^4}{\eta}\frac{|f_2|}{f})^2]\\
\geq&-2\beta\lambda_1\frac{r^2-x_1^2}{\eta}-2\beta f\frac{r^2}{\eta\lambda_1}-4\beta|f_{12}|\frac{r^4}{\eta\lambda_1}-32\beta\frac{f_1^2r^4}{\eta\lambda_1^3}-8\beta\frac{|f_1f_2|r^4}{\eta\lambda_1^3}-24\beta\frac{|f_1|r^3}{\eta\lambda_1}\\
&-\frac{\lambda_1}{4}(\frac{u_{112}}{u_{11}})^2-[\frac{6\beta|f_1|^2r^4}{\eta\lambda_1}+\frac{12\beta fr^2}{\eta\lambda_1}]\\
&-\frac{f}{2\lambda_1}(\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}})^2-[\frac{8\beta fr^4|f_2|^2}{\eta\lambda_1^3}+\frac{(48\beta)^2fr^6}{\eta^2\lambda_1}+\frac{32\beta^2|f_2|^2r^8}{\eta^2\lambda_1f}].
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we just need to estimate $-2\beta\lambda_1\frac{r^2-x_1^2}{\eta}$. If $x_2^2 \leq \frac{r^2}{2}$, we can get

$$-2\beta\lambda_1\frac{r^2-x_1^2}{\eta} = -\frac{8}{r^2-x_2^2}\lambda_1 \geq -\frac{16}{r^2}\lambda_1 \geq -\frac{1}{2}\frac{c_0}{r^2}f\lambda_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{g'}{g}f\lambda_1.$$

If $x_2^2 \geq \frac{r^2}{2}$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}-2\beta\lambda_1\frac{r^2-x_1^2}{\eta} =& -\frac{8}{r^2-x_2^2}\lambda_1 \geq -\frac{x_2^2}{r^2-x_2^2}\frac{8}{r^2-x_2^2}\lambda_1 = -\beta\lambda_1\frac{1}{2}[\frac{2x_2}{r^2-x_2^2}]^2\\ \geq& -\beta\lambda_1[\frac{\eta_2}{\eta}]^2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$-2\beta\lambda_1\frac{r^2-x_1^2}{\eta} \geq -\frac{1}{2}\frac{g'}{g}f\lambda_1 - \beta\lambda_1[\frac{\eta_2}{\eta}]^2. \tag{3.23}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\beta[\frac{f}{\lambda_1}\frac{\eta_{11}}{\eta}+\lambda_1\frac{\eta_{22}}{\eta}] \geq& -\frac{1}{2}\frac{g'}{g}f\lambda_1 - \beta\lambda_1[\frac{\eta_2}{\eta}]^2 - \frac{f}{2\lambda_1}(\frac{u_{111}}{u_{11}})^2 - \frac{\lambda_1}{4}(\frac{u_{112}}{u_{11}})^2\\ &- 2\beta f\frac{r^2}{\eta\lambda_1} - 4\beta|f_{12}|\frac{r^4}{\eta\lambda_1} - 32\beta\frac{f_1^2r^4}{\eta\lambda_1^3} - 8\beta\frac{|f_1f_2|r^4}{\eta\lambda_1^3} - 24\beta\frac{|f_1|r^3}{\eta\lambda_1}\\ &- [\frac{6\beta|f_1|^2r^4}{\eta\lambda_1}+\frac{12\beta fr^2}{\eta\lambda_1}] - [\frac{8\beta fr^4|f_2|^2}{\eta\lambda_1^3}+\frac{(48\beta)^2fr^6}{\eta^2\lambda_1}+\frac{32\beta^2|f_2|^2r^8}{\eta^2\lambda_1 f}].\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

□

Now we continue to prove Theorem 1.1. From (3.10) and Lemma 3.1, we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & \sum_{i=1}^{2} F^{ii}\varphi_{ii} \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2}\frac{g'}{g} f\lambda_1 - 2\beta f\frac{r^2}{\eta\lambda_1} - 4\beta|f_{12}|\frac{r^4}{\eta\lambda_1} - 32\beta\frac{f_1^2 r^4}{\eta\lambda_1^3} - 8\beta\frac{|f_1 f_2| r^4}{\eta\lambda_1^3} - 24\beta\frac{|f_1| r^3}{\eta\lambda_1} \\
& - [\frac{6\beta|f_1|^2 r^4}{\eta\lambda_1} + \frac{12\beta f r^2}{\eta\lambda_1}] - [\frac{8\beta f r^4 |f_2|^2}{\eta\lambda_1^3} + \frac{(48\beta)^2 f r^6}{\eta^2\lambda_1} + \frac{32\beta^2|f_2|^2 r^8}{\eta^2\lambda_1 f}] \\
& - 4\beta f\frac{g'}{g}\frac{r^4}{\eta}\frac{|u_2|}{r} - \frac{g'}{g}|\nabla u||\nabla f| - \frac{|f_{11}|}{\lambda_1} - 2\frac{f_1^2}{f\lambda_1} - \frac{8\beta f r^6}{\eta^2\lambda_1} \\
\geq & \frac{c_0 m}{2r^2}\lambda_1 - \frac{8r^2\cdot M}{\eta\lambda_1} - \frac{32r^2\cdot r^2|f_{12}|}{\eta\lambda_1} - \frac{128r^2\cdot r^2 f_1^2}{\eta\lambda_1^3} - \frac{32r^2\cdot r^2|f_1 f_2|}{\eta\lambda_1^3} - \frac{96r^2\cdot r|f_1|}{\eta\lambda_1} \\
& - \frac{24r^2\cdot r^2|f_1|^2}{\eta\lambda_1} - \frac{48r^2\cdot M}{\eta\lambda_1} - \frac{32r^2\cdot M\cdot r^2|f_2|^2}{\eta\lambda_1^3} - \frac{192^2\cdot M\cdot r^6}{\eta^2\lambda_1} - \frac{512r^6\cdot r^2|f_2|^2\cdot\frac{1}{m}}{\eta^2\lambda_1} \\
& - \frac{16r^2\cdot c_0 m}{\eta}\frac{|u_2|}{r} - \frac{c_0}{r^2}\cdot r|\nabla f|\cdot\frac{|\nabla u|}{r} - \frac{|f_{11}|}{\lambda_1} - 2\frac{f_1^2}{m\lambda_1} - \frac{32Mr^6}{\eta^2\lambda_1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

So we can get

$$
\eta\lambda_1 \leq C(1+\frac{|\nabla u|}{r})r^4. \tag{3.25}
$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on $c_0, m, M, r|\nabla f|$, and $r^2|\nabla^2 f|$. So we can easily get

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\tau(0)\tau(0)}(0) \leq & \frac{1}{r^{4\beta}}\phi(0) \leq \frac{1}{r^{4\beta}}\phi(x_0) \leq C(1+\frac{\sup|Du|}{r})e^{c_0\frac{\sup|Du|^2}{r^2}} \\
\leq & Ce^{(c_0+2)\frac{\sup|Du|^2}{r^2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
|u_{\xi\xi}(0)| \leq u_{\tau(0)\tau(0)}(0) \leq Ce^{(c_0+2)\frac{\sup|Du|^2}{r^2}}, \quad \forall\ \xi\in\mathbb{S}^1. \tag{3.26}
$$

Then we prove Theorem 1.1 under the condition $\eta\lambda_1 \geq 10^3(1+M+r\sup|\nabla f| + \frac{M}{m}\frac{\sup|Du|}{r})r^4$. Hence Theorem 1.1 holds.

Remark 3.2. The eigenvector field τ is important. In fact, it is well-defined when the largest eigenvalue is distinct with others, and τ depends only on the adjoint matrix. For the Monge-Ampère equation in dimension $n \geq 3$, we do not know whether the largest eigenvalue is distinct with others. So our method is not suitable for this case.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express sincere gratitude to Prof. Xi-Nan Ma for the constant encouragement in this subject.

References

[1] B. Andrews, Pinching estimates and motion of hypersurfaces by curvature functions, J. Reine Angew. Math., 608 (2007), 17-33.
[2] C.Q. Chen, Optimal concavity of some Hessian operators and the prescribed σ_2 curvature measure problem, Sci. China Math., 56(2013), 639-651.
[3] K.S. Chou, X.J. Wang, A variation theory of the Hessian equation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 54(9)(2001), 1029-1064.
[4] P. Guan, C. Ren, Z. Wang, Global C^2 estimates for convex solutions of curvature equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math, 68(2015), 1287-1325.
[5] E. Heinz, On elliptic Monge-Ampère equations and Weyls embedding problem, J. Analyse Math., 7(1959), 1-52.
[6] M. Li, C. Ren, Z. Wang, An interior estimate for convex solutions and a rigidity theorem, J. Funct. Anal., 270(2016), 2691-2714.
[7] A.V. Pogorelov, The multidimensional Minkowski problem, New York, Wiley 1978.
[8] N.S. Trudinger, Weak solutions of Hessian equations, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 22(7-8)(1997), 1251-1261.
[9] J. Urbas, On the existence of nonclassical solutions for two class of fully nonlinear elliptic equations, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 39(1990), 355-382.
[10] M. Warren, Y. Yuan, Hessian estimates for the σ_2 equation in dimension 3, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 62(2009), 305-321.

Department of Applied Mathematics,, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, 310023, Zhejiang Province, CHINA
E-mail address: cqchen@mail.ustc.edu.cn

School of Mathematics Sciences, Xinjiang Normal University, Urumqi, 830054, Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, CHINA
E-mail address: klhanqingfei@126.com

Department of Mathematics, Hezhou University, Hezhou, 542800, Guangxi Province, CHINA
E-mail address: ouqzh@163.com